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ABSTRACT

Background: The term “blood type” refers to the antigen phenotype, which is the serologic expression of the inherited blood group genes, such as A, B, and O in the ABO system. Physical fitness as an important factor in assessing a person’s physical health and motor ability consists of various components such as core endurance and strength. Pain pressure threshold is determined as the duration of time between the subject’s first reported pain and exposure to the painful stimulus or the minimum force applied to induce pain.

Methodology: Healthy male and female volunteers within the age range of 18–25 years were recruited for this co-relational study based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. The study consisted of one experimental session in which a questionnaire was filled out, a blood type test was performed, and two rounds of pressure pain thresholds (PPTs) over the core muscles i.e. over Rectus Abdominis, Transverse Abdominis, Multifidus and Erector Spinae were obtained separated by a cold pressor test (CPT). The session lasted 30 min. The study was approved by the ethical committee of Santosh University, Ghaziabad and conducted within the timeline of May-august 2021 at the Department of physiotherapy, Santosh hospital, Ghaziabad, U.P. T- test, Anova and Karl Pearson correlation method were used to analyze the data.
Results: Result showed that both core stability and pain tolerance had significant difference and association among different blood groups (p>0.05). Also blood group O had the least mechanical sensitivity as it had the highest pain tolerance (mean ± SD= 56.48 ± 37.39) after CPT test. Also B+ has the good core stability as compared with means (mean ± SD= 48.50 ± 27.44) of other blood groups.

Conclusion: Study concluded that there was significance association among different ABO blood groups and pain tolerance in healthy young Indian individuals which showed evidence that blood groups can be incorporated in physical therapy assessment and management.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Pain is one of the most common elements in a myriad of diseases. Pain relief therefore is very important in ancient and modern medicine. Pain is a sensation of discomfort, providing the organism with a protective mechanism to survive. Models of pain are defined as the ability to control the position and movement of the trunk over the pelvis to allow the optimum transfer of energy from the torso to extremities when performing athletic activities, which are often composed of highly loaded movements [4]. Pain has been linked to a complex, dynamic psycho-physiological response to tissue damage, disease or inflammation and often lasts for a short period. Chronic pain is presented as a continuous hyperexcitable neuronal state with lack of inhibitory neuronal function. Pain perception is operationalized as the following three measures: perceived pain intensity, pain threshold (ie, the point at which participants indicate they feel pain), and pain tolerance (ie, ability to withstand pain) [1-3]. Pain pressure threshold is determined as the duration of time between the subject's first reported pain and exposure to the painful stimulus or the minimum force applied to induce pain. Pain tolerance was determined as the duration of time until the subject withdraws his/her hand from the cold water as the pain was too intensive. The term “blood type” refers to the antigen phenotype, which is the serologic expression of the inherited blood group genes, such as A, B, and O in the ABO system. These blood groups are polymorphic, inherited, antigenic carbohydrate present on the surface of erythrocytes and other tissues. Core stability is defined as the ability to control the position and motion of the trunk over the pelvis to allow the optimum transfer of energy from the torso to extremities when performing athletic activities, which are often composed of highly loaded movements [4]. Pain has been linked to a number of genes, including the catechol-O-methyl-transferase gene. Sex, ethnicity, and eye and hair colour are among the phenotypic variables that have been used to predict pain sensitivity. (Fillingim et al. 2005, 2009; Mogil  et al. 2005; Tegeder et al. 2006; Anderson et al. 2009). A less studied phenotype in relation to pain perception is the ABO blood type. Till date according to researcher knowledge there is no study done who has seen the correlation between pain pressure threshold and pain tolerance and core stability in young healthy Indian individuals [5,6]. Thus the present study aim to study the correlation of the four factors with ABO blood group system. Also the main objective of this study was to find out the influence of pain perception and core stability in different blood groups so that when patient visiting for the physical therapy management, dosage can be set according to the blood groups and blood group can be incorporated in the assessment as well.

2. METHODOLOGY

Healthy male and female volunteers within the age range of 18–25 years were recruited for this co relational study. Participants were screened to ensure compliance with eligibility criteria according to the study protocol and excluded if any participants having any of the following conditions- if participant takes alcohol or if drug abuse, Dermatoses at the site of pain stimulation, Endocrine disorders, Hormonal therapy, Gynaecological disease. Menstruation, Any systemic illness, Immunological disorder, if participant not giving consent, Metabolic diseases, Osteoporosis, Pregnancy. A questionnaire was completed, a blood type test was done, and two sets of pressure pain thresholds (PPTs) were acquired over the core muscles (Rectus Abdominis, Transverse Abdominis, Multifidus, and Erector Spinae), separated by a cold pressor test (CPT). The session lasted 30 min. The study was approved by the ethical committee of Santosh University, Ghaziabad and conducted within the timeline of May-august 2021 at the Department of Physiotherapy, Santosh hospital, Ghaziabad, U.P. Total of 175 students were recruited from Santosh Medical and Dental College, Hospital, Ghaziabad for the study. 160 students...
participants in the study on the basis of inclusion and exclusion criteria. Detailed oral explanation regarding the nature, purpose and requirements of the study was told to all subjects. After obtaining written consent including the unconditional agreements of the subjects, blood samples were taken to determine the blood groups by the experts from the pathology lab. A series of test was performed to take the appropriate data. Participants were evaluated individually after a brief technique demonstration and clear instructions.

2.1 Blood Type

The blood samples were collected by vein puncture with 2 ml emptied into EDTA tubes and used for blood grouping. Blood grouping was done on standard protocols described by Dacie and Lewis (1991). Three clean glass slides were taken on which a drop of known anti-sera (manufactured by Arkray healthcare Pvt. Limited on the name of Spanclone Anti – A+B+D (Rh0) monoclonal (anti-A, anti-B and anti-D) were put. A drop of blood sample was added to each one of it. Using the edge of separate slides the blood was properly mixed with the anti-sera. The slides were kept undisturbed for 1-2 minutes at about 37 degrees Celsius. The presence of agglutination confirmed under microscope indicated the presence of that respective blood group and the Rh factor.

2.2 Pressure Pain Threshold

Pressure pain threshold (PPT) was measured using a handheld algometer (probe diameter: 1 cm) (ORCHID PRESSURE ALGOMETER, India) before and immediately after CPT to test functionality of the internal pain inhibitory mechanisms using conditioned pain modulation (CPM). CPM is considered as relevant clinical test and a commonly used model to evaluate endogenous pain modulation, which usually involves inhibition of a pain stimulus during exposure to a second noxious conditioning stimulus (i.e., cold water) (Lewis et al. 2012; Kennedy et al. 2016). The following test sites were assessed: the Rectus Abdominis muscle, the Transverse Abdominis muscle (both identified by manual palpation during sit up position in supine lying position), and the Erector Spinae and Multifidus in prone lying position. Participants were comfortably placed on the couch with the help of pillow as head/shoulder support during execution of PPT tests. The algometer probe tip was placed perpendicularly on the skin at the test site and pressure was applied according to instructions with slope settings at 30 kPa/s. The participants were asked to say yes as soon they first felt the transition of increasing pressure to a sensation of pain. PPT was measured at each muscle twice before and after CPT test in a proper sequence, randomly starting from Rectus Abdominis then Transverse Abdominis and then Multifidus and Erector Spinae and an data was obtained for further analysis.

2.3 Cold Pressor Test

Participants were asked to immerse the non-dominant foot into the ice-water bucket. The bucket was filled with cold water (4 liters) and kept at a temperature range of 1–4°C by adding ice cubes (Holmgaard et al. 2017). A manual thermometer was used to keep track of the temperature. Participants were instructed to keep the foot in the ice-water while rating the intensity of pain every 10 s on a visual analogue scale (VAS) and first time with help of stop watch was noted when participant reported first pain sensation and when pain was unbearable participant withdraw from the bucket and time was noted respectively.

2.4 Core Stability

Participant after completing the cold pressor test series were asked to perform plank test to measure the core stability. Each participant was instructed how to perform the plank test. The test procedures were as follows: the subject assumed the forearm plank position with elbows in contact with the ground, such that the humerus formed a perpendicular line to the horizontal plane, directly beneath the shoulders. The hands were directly in front of the elbows and the forearms were in a neutral position. Only the forearms and toes supported the body as the subject assumed a rigid anatomical body stance. Phalangeal extension, neutral ankle position, knee and hip extension, and neutral spinal postures are all characteristics of this stance [7-9]. The participants were told to retain this stance as still as possible for as long as possible, and they were given brief verbal cues to help them stick to the form. The investigator started the stopwatch after the subject was in the right position. The test was terminated when (1) the participant got tired or voluntarily stopped the test, (2) the participant failed to maintain the proper position,(3) the participant reported ill effects from the test (e.g. headache, dizziness, pain not associated with fatigue, etc.), or the investigator noticed signs indicative of ill effects in the
participant from the test. At the end of the test, hold time was recorded with the help of stopwatch.

After completing the test and experiment series data were then analyzed.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

After data was collected mean & standard deviation and covariance was calculated to get Karl Pearson correlation using SPSS software. One way - Anova was used to analyse the significant difference between and within group.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Participants and Study Design

A total of 160 participants completed the study with the mean age of 21.50 years (Range 18-25 years), and the distribution according to blood group is shown in Fig 1.
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**Fig. 1. Blood Group Distribution**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Muscle Points</th>
<th>Pre Mean ± SD</th>
<th>post mean ± SD</th>
<th>Mean Difference</th>
<th>t-Value</th>
<th>Sig value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rectus Abdominis</td>
<td>51.32±46.27</td>
<td>50.59 ± 19.73</td>
<td>.7269</td>
<td>.215</td>
<td>.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transverse Abdominis</td>
<td>47.39 ± 17.911</td>
<td>50.32 ± 19.22</td>
<td>-2.931</td>
<td>-3.66</td>
<td>.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifidi</td>
<td>83.80 ± 36.025</td>
<td>88.87 ± 37.749</td>
<td>-5.069</td>
<td>-4.624</td>
<td>.000*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erector Spinae</td>
<td>74.33 ± 31.58</td>
<td>77.83 ± 32.35</td>
<td>-3.500</td>
<td>-2.841</td>
<td>.000*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).
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**Graph 1. Represents Pre and Post Comparison of Cold Pressor Test**
Table 2. Blood groups and core stability via plank test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Groups (n=152)</th>
<th>Mean ± S D</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>F value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+ (n=33)</td>
<td>39.15 ± 27.64</td>
<td>48.95 – 29.35</td>
<td>2.543</td>
<td>.048*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB+ (n=18)</td>
<td>36.61 ± 23.40</td>
<td>48.25 – 24.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+ (n=68)</td>
<td>48.50 ± 27.44</td>
<td>55.14 – 41.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+ (n=33)</td>
<td>35.94 ± 17.68</td>
<td>42.21 – 29.67</td>
<td>2.543</td>
<td>.048*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Table 3. Represents blood groups and pain tolerance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Groups (n=152)</th>
<th>Mean ± S D</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>F value</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+ (n=33)</td>
<td>31.09 ± 14.92</td>
<td>36.38 – 25.80</td>
<td>3.103</td>
<td>.029*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB+ (n=18)</td>
<td>45.33 ± 47.39</td>
<td>68.90-21.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+ (n=68)</td>
<td>47.72 ± 36.11</td>
<td>56.46-38.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+ (n=33)</td>
<td>56.48 ± 37.39</td>
<td>69.75-43.22</td>
<td>3.103</td>
<td>.029*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Table 4. Represents blood groupwise pain pressure threshold efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Group</th>
<th>Mean Perception</th>
<th>Mean Tolerance</th>
<th>Efficiency Formula</th>
<th>Efficiency Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+ (n=33)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31-15 x 100</td>
<td>106.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB+ (n=18)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45-12 x 100</td>
<td>275%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+ (n=68)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48-17 x 100</td>
<td>182.35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O+ (n=33)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56-21 x 100</td>
<td>166.67%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. DISCUSSION

The aim of the present research was to identify the association between different blood groups and pain tolerance and threshold, core stability and Body mass index in healthy young Indian individual. The total of 160 participants was involved in the study with mean age of 21.50 years, (males- 76 females- 84 ). This is the first study in the literature measuring pain perception and threshold on core muscles using cold pressor test. Also the result of the present study shows significant difference over Erector Spinae, Transverse Abdominis and Multifidus (< .05) but no significance difference over Rectus Abdominis muscle was observed. Finding from this study observed that every individual with their unique blood types have different pain sensitivity to mechanical stimuli reflected on PPT values and different levels of internal pain inhibitory capacity reflected on the cold pressor modulation effect.

4.1 Pressure Pain Threshold

One of the objectives of the present study was to investigate whether pain perception differs between different blood groups when measured over the core muscles in healthy young Indian individuals. Participants with blood type O+ possess the lowest pain sensitivity at baseline and post CPT measurements when measured at all the core muscles. The result could be supported by another study did by (Diatchenko et al. 2005) which indicates that general sensitivity might be associated with an increased risk of future development of chronic pain for example low back pain. The present study shows overall high pain mechanical sensitivity in other blood groups which indicates that other blood groups are also prone to develop chronic pain in their later 50’s age. In the present study, a result varies in different blood types might be due to their unequal distribution. PPTs before and after CPT were compared in order to investigate if the internal pain inhibitory system is active and functional in the healthy participants and if this functionality is ABO dependent or not. A tendency was found towards a decrease in mechanical pain sensitivity after CPT among all blood types, of which some were found significant. This is in agreement with findings in a study by (Knudsen and Drummond 2009) that
observed decreased mechanical pain sensitivity on the forehead after CPT conducted in both 2 and 4 °C cold water. The decrease in sensitivity indicates functioning of the pain inhibitory modulation system. As not all blood type groups exhibited a significant decrease in pain sensitivity after CPT, not all participants exhibited the expected CPM effect.

4.2 Core Stability

The primary aim of the present research was to investigate the association of different ABO blood group with core stability. Core endurance was measured by plank test which is the standardized test for measuring core muscle strength and endurance. The result of the present research shows that there is significant difference in core stability between the different blood group types. Also it was found that individuals with blood group type B+ have maximum core stability when compared [10] et al 2017) who investigated running endurance with ABO blood group system on 52 recreational athletes and found that athletes with O blood group have better endurance than non-O. In particular, a thorough examination of the structural and physiological characteristics that characterise endurance running ability has lately helped to reveal numerous traits of the genus Homo, which would ultimately impart greater sustained endurance running capability than other primates. Long legs with tendons connecting short muscle fibres to bones, a typical plantar arch of the feet, short toes, specific muscle conformation, anthropomorphic alterations of the hips and shoulders, sweat glands, reduced hair mass, mouth breathing, and a body suited for more efficient heat dissipation are just a few of these features [11-13]. Another recent study discovered a link between ABO blood groups and muscle anatomy, resulting in an O blood group trait that protects against muscular tendon rupture (Beom et al., 2007). In another study, there was no relation between blood groups muscle flexibility (Kunher et al., 2005).

5. CONCLUSION

The present research alternate hypothesis was partially accepted There is an association of pain sensitivity and blood group, with individuals having blood group A having least pain tolerance, while individuals having blood group O showing highest pain tolerance. Also B+ have good core stability as compared to other blood groups.

6. LIMITATION AND FUTURE SCOPE

Unequal distribution of blood groups at local and global level and also the inability to enroll equal number of subjects having different blood groups in the present study also might have influenced the results of the study inviting the need of further research. Further limitations were faced regarding CPT. Ideally, the temperature should have been kept at a constant level in order to avoid variations in cold receptor responses.
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