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ABSTRACT

Background: Diabetes mellitus is a chronic illness that results in abnormally high blood sugar levels. It can result in a range of complications.

Objective: The purpose of this study is to present an ideal variable selection strategy utilizing proven Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) models and to validate the variable using Multilayer Perceptron Neural Network (MLP) models. This will validate a factor linked with body mass index (BMI) status in individuals with dyslipidemia and type 2 diabetes mellitus.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: wamir@usm.my;
Keywords: BMI; Modelling; multiple linear regression; predicted mean square error; type 2 diabetes mellitus.

1. INTRODUCTION

Diabetes mellitus is a metabolic disorder caused by various factors [1]. It can result in the progressive development of multidimensional complications in the human body's vascular system [2]. Micro-vascular endpoint complications may include retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy, whereas macro-vascular endpoint complications may include stroke, peripheral vascular disease, and ischemic cardiovascular disease [3,4]. Diabetes mellitus has been identified as a potentially independent risk factor for premature death and reduced life expectancy [5]. There is a lot of evidence that the number of people with diabetes mellitus is rising at an alarming rate, especially in middle-aged adults [6]. Diabetes mellitus is now considered a risk factor for coronary heart disease [7]. According to Mooradian in diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia is one of the most critical risk factors for cardiovascular disease [8].

Diabetes is a common, long-term disease that can be dangerous to people's health and is very common. People with diabetes have high blood sugar caused by either a lack of insulin production or an inability to use insulin properly [9]. Diabetes can cause long-term damage and dysfunction of many tissues, including the eyes, kidneys, heart, blood vessels, and nerves [10]. Diabetes can be divided into T1D (type 1) and T2D (type 2). Most people with type 1 diabetes are in their twenties or thirties or even younger. Increased thirst, frequent urination, and raised blood glucose levels are among clinical indications of diabetes [11]. There are no effective oral medications for treating this kind of diabetes, so insulin is the only treatment option for patients. Obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia, arteriosclerosis, and other conditions are frequently associated with type 2 diabetes in the middle-aged and elderly population [12,13].

The term "dyslipidemia" largely supplanted the previous term "hyperlipidemia" refers to abnormal changes in body composition, most notably in body fat and lipid profiles. Dyslipidemia is a substantial risk factor for coronary heart disease in people with diabetes mellitus since it is associated with decreased high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, increased plasma triglycerides, and increased small-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol [14].

Because insulin resistance or deficiency affects enzymes and pathways involved in lipid metabolism, lipid abnormalities are common in diabetes mellitus [15]. Furthermore, the lipid particle composition of diabetic dyslipidemia is
thought to be more atherogenic than that of other forms of dyslipidemia. Diabetic patients’ normal lipid levels may be more atherogenic than those of nondiabetic patients. Atherosclerosis and dyslipidemia are causally connected. Hyperglycaemia, obesity, and insulin resistance contribute considerably to the advancement of atherosclerosis in diabetes [16-18].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Data Collection

This study analyzed a dataset from the outpatient clinic at Hospital Universiti Sains Malaysia (U.S.M), Kelantan, Malaysia. The dataset contains nine attributes: body mass index, gender, age, race, coronary heart disease, waist circumference, alanine transferase, triglycerides, and dyslipidaemia. In this dataset, 39 patients took part in the study. The data descriptions for the research variables are summarised in Table 1.

2.2 Study Design

This paper outlines a methodology based on the design of computational retrospective research. This study constructs a multilayer perceptron with multiple linear regression using a retrospective approach and advanced computational statistical modeling techniques. This methodology was built using the testing and training datasets for M.L.P. and Multiple Linear Regression. B.M.I. (Y), Gender (X1), Age (X2), Race (X3), Coronary Heart Disease status (X4), Wc (X5), Alt (X6), Tg (X7), and Dys (X8) were used to demonstrate the case study.

\[
Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{(Gender)} + \beta_2 \text{(Age)} + \beta_3 \text{(Race)} + \beta_4 \text{(Chd)} + \beta_5 \text{(Alt)} + \beta_6 \text{(Tg)} + \beta_7 \text{(Dys)} + \epsilon \quad (1)
\]

where \( \beta_0, \ldots, \beta_8 \) are parameters, and \( Y \) is the reading of body mass index.

2.3 Computational Biometry Modelling

The simulation data were analysed using multiple linear regression.

The R-Studio software developed the R-syntax with a successful combination model that used bootstrap, multiple linear regression, and multilayer perceptron approaches. These advanced techniques led to the superiority of the hybrid model technique. This section investigated the relationship between the total number of cases and the selected explanatory variables by fitting a set of linear regression models. The data was divided into two categories: training and testing. Modelling was done with the training data, while validation will be done with the testing data.

Table 1. Data description of the selected variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>BMI Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>X1</td>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>X2</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>X3</td>
<td>Race</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chd</td>
<td>X4</td>
<td>Coronary Heart Disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wc</td>
<td>X5</td>
<td>Waist Circumference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt</td>
<td>X6</td>
<td>Alanine Transferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg</td>
<td>X7</td>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dys</td>
<td>X8</td>
<td>Dyslipidaemia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Bootstrap

Bootstrap started by calculating sample statistics after a population sample has been chosen randomly. A pseudo-population was formed by replicating a large number of substitution samples numerous times after the original samples were copied. Random sampling produced samples that differ from the original sample in the case of replacement sampling. The bootstrap is a statistical technique used to calculate statistics for each sample taken with replacement [19,20]. Table 2 shows the model's result. The R software was used to fit the linear regression. The following is the complete step-by-step procedure:
An essential aspect of this type of study is that it uses a model that affects clinical variables. A bootstrapping method will be developed after the data has been prepared. By repeating each observation numerous times and eliminating some of them, the bootstrap approach generates a sample of the same size as the original sample [19-22]. Fig. 1 illustrates how this works to help you better grasp it.
### Complete Dataset for a patient with Type 2 Diabetes/
### Mellitus with Dyslipidaemia disease /

```r
Input = (" 
B MI G en del G er Age R ace Ch d W c Alt T g Dys
23.90 0 58 0 1 35 10 1.40 1
21.09 1 36 0 1 30 89 1.28 1
34.60 0 47 0 1 33 23 1.04 1
23.62 0 50 0 1 33 31 0.90 1
29.40 0 47 0 1 32 24 1.41 1
20.31 1 46 0 1 29 22 1.87 1
27.80 0 56 0 0 96 42 1.14 0
22.30 0 51 0 1 35 24 0.97 1
24.03 0 58 1 1 30 15 0.97 1
29.33 1 57 0 1 33 26 1.43 1
19.53 1 53 0 1 28 25 2.47 1
25.80 1 71 0 1 94 9 1.50 0
")
data = read.table(textConnection(Input), header=TRUE)
```

---

# Performing Bootstrap for 1000
```r
mydata <- rbind.data.frame(data, stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
iboot <- sample(1:nrow(mydata), size=1000, replace = TRUE)
bootdata <- mydata[iboot,]
```

---

# install the neuralnet package/
```r
if(!require(neuralnet)){install.packages("neuralnet")}
library("neuralnet")
```

---

# Checking for the missing values/
```r
apply(bootdata, 2, function(x) sum(is.na(x)))
```

---

# Scaling the data for normalization
# Method (usually called feature scaling) to get all the scaled data
# in the range [0,1]/
```r
max_data <- apply(bootdata, 2, max)
min_data <- apply(bootdata, 2, min)
data_scaled <- scale(bootdata, center = min_data, scale = max_data - min_data)
```

---

# Randomly split the data into 70:30
# 70 percent of the data at our disposal to train the network
# 30 percent to test the network/
```r
index = sample(1:nrow(bootdata), round(0.70*nrow(bootdata)))
train_data <- as.data.frame(data_scaled[index,])
test_data <- as.data.frame(data_scaled[-index,])
```

---

# Print Data
```r
print(train_data)
print(test_data)
```
3. RESULTS

3.1 The Result of Regression Modelling

3.1.1 Regression model without bootstrapping

Table 2 displays the outcomes of multiple linear regression with a training dataset, with BMI as the dependent variable and the study's outcome. In this case, the mean square error net (MSE.net) prediction is 0.167656, while the Predicted Mean Square Error (MSE.im) is 8.509776. These values indicate the difference between the predicted and actual values. Also, the table shows how widely distributed our predictive data is and how well obtained model predicts. Interestingly, similar data will have a small spread following, demonstrating our forecast data's accuracy and reliability. A 70/30 split means that 70% of the data is used to train the network, and 30% is used to test the network. The findings of the multiple regression analysis

```r
# Build the network
BMI 3 hidden layers have 3 and 2 neurons respectfully
# Input layer = 8/
# Output layer = 1/

n = names(bootdata)
f = as.formula(paste("BMI ~", paste(n[!n %in% "BMI"], collapse = " + ")))
nn = neuralnet(f, data=train_data, hidden=c(2), linear.output=T)
plot(nn)
options(warn=-1)

# 30 percent of the available data to do this:
# using only the first 2 columns representing the input variables
# of the network and 1 is the output for N.N./
predicted <- compute(nn, test_data[, 1:8])

# Use the Mean Squared Error N.N. (MSE-forecasts the network) as a measure of how far
# away our predictions are from the real data/
MSE.net <- sum((test_data$BMI - predicted$net.result)^2)/nrow(test_data)
MSE.net

# Fit a Linear Regression Model
# Use Mean Squared Error (MSE) as a Measure of Prediction Performance/
# Predict the Values for the Test Set and Calculate the MSE/
Model <- lm(BMI ~ Gender + Age + Race + Chd + Wc + Alt + Tg + Dys, data = bootdata)
summary(Model)
test <- data[-index,]
predict_lm <- predict(Model, test)
MSE.lm <- sum((predict_lm - test$BMI)^2)/nrow(test)
MSE.lm

# Printing the Value of M.S.E. for Linear Model and Neural Network/
print(paste(MSE.lm, MSE.net))

# Predicted data
data$PredictedBMI <- predict(Model, data)
distPred <- predict(Model, data)
preds <- predict(Model, data)
modelEval <- cbind(data$BMI, preds)
colnames(modelEval) <- c("Actual", "Predicted")
modelEval <- as.data.frame(modelEval)
print(modelEval)
```
are shown in Table 2. The model is illustrated below.

3.1.2 Regression model with bootstrapping

The bootstrapping technique was used in this section. Table 3 shows the results of multiple linear regression using a training set, where BMI served as the study's outcome. It also shows how dispersed our predictive data is and how accurate our model predicts future events. Mean Square Error prediction for the linear model's (MSE.lm) is 9.019188, while the mean square error net prediction for the neural network (MSE.net) is 0.202656. The difference between predicted and actual values is shown in these numbers. Our forecast data is accurate and reliable based on this small spread. The training and testing data are split 70:30, which means that 70% of the data is used to train the network, and 30% is used for testing. Table 3 illustrates the multiple regression analysis results. The model can be seen below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Value</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>-13.569</td>
<td>12.214</td>
<td>-1.111</td>
<td>0.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-2.289</td>
<td>1.409</td>
<td>-1.625</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.154</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>-1.805</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>2.171</td>
<td>1.849</td>
<td>1.174</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chd</td>
<td>-0.237</td>
<td>3.126</td>
<td>-0.076</td>
<td>0.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wc</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>5.299</td>
<td>1.11e-05*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alt</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tg</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>1.131</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dys</td>
<td>29.209</td>
<td>6.554</td>
<td>4.456</td>
<td>0.0001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multiple Linear Regression was applied; *Significant at the level of the 0.05; $R^2$: 60.21%
3.1.3 Regression model refinement

The bootstrapping method approach reveals whether the characteristics of the studied variables are significant or not. These significant variables act as a feeder for the new robust multiple linear regression. The insignificant variable will be eliminated from the model. Table 4 summarises the finding, and Fig. 4 shows the validated model.

3.2 Model Evaluation

The model built from the training data set was tested with the testing data set. In this case, the forecast value can be used to determine the model's evaluation. The accuracy of a prediction will be ascertained by comparing the actual and expected values.

The R syntax has developed the method for evaluating models. When two sets of data are compared, the predicted distance between the expected and actual values will be used to determine the difference. The smallest differences are found between the good model and the precise model. This method can be used to evaluate the following techniques.

According to the proposed model, Table 6 summarises the "Actual" and "Predicted" values. The researchers discovered that "actual" and "predicted" values were statistically equivalent. This proved the superiority of the proposed model.
Fig. 4. The architecture of the best (M.L.P.) model with five input variables, two hidden layers, and one output node

Table 4. The Final Result of Multiple Linear Regression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Value</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(Intercept)</td>
<td>-13.797</td>
<td>1.893</td>
<td>-7.288</td>
<td>6.4e-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-2.069</td>
<td>0.217</td>
<td>-9.515</td>
<td>&lt; 2e-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>-0.139</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-10.352</td>
<td>&lt; 2e-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>1.297</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>4.297</td>
<td>1.9e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wc</td>
<td>0.547</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>31.077</td>
<td>&lt; 2e-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dys</td>
<td>28.751</td>
<td>1.089</td>
<td>26.380</td>
<td>&lt; 2e-16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Multiple Linear Regression was applied; *Significant at the level of the 0.05; $R^2$: 59.22%

Table 5. Displays both the “Actual” and “Predicted” values using the proposed methodology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Predicted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23.90</td>
<td>26.08856</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>21.09</td>
<td>24.25333</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>34.60</td>
<td>26.60300</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.62</td>
<td>26.16097</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>26.04983</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.31</td>
<td>22.22674</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>27.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>41.70</td>
<td>40.43463</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>22.52</td>
<td>22.44902</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td>21.02252</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>34.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>24.70</td>
<td>26.69079</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>34.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>21.08</td>
<td>23.76477</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Minimal discrepancies exist between the actual and predicted values. There were no significant differences in the paired-sample t-test as well.
### 4. DISCUSSION

This study used Multilayer Perceptron to develop and validate the proposed hybrid model. Through this research paper, we were able to effectively use the presented technique, which is particularly helpful for predicting event probability due to its ease of use (predict the odds of being a case). Using the proposed method, one can accurately estimate the predicted value of a dependent variable.

We developed and harmonized a hybrid approach that resulted in a highly accurate and dependable prediction model. The findings revealed that gender, age, race, waist circumference and dyslipidaemia are the most critical factors influencing body mass index. The initial data was stored in a "mega" file created by the bootstrap technique. There were several replacement files generated during the bootstrap procedure. Statistical samples were generated and saved using the bootstrap method. As a final step, the bootstrap approach iteratively repeated this procedure, which took thousands of times. Finally, all of the data has been collected and is now ready to be analysed.

The R syntax algorithm had been used to integrate this proposed methodology with the application concept. The first step in this proposed methodology is to seek expert advice when choosing variables. When it comes to variable selection, the proposed method begins with a consultation with an expert. Then, data for training and testing will be kept apart. The application is linked to method-based methodology via the R syntax algorithm. The first step is to select variables with assistance and guidance. In the following step, the bootstrap technique will be applied to the data set. At this time, 70 per cent of the bootstrap data will be designated as training data, and 30 per cent will be marked as testing data. We will use data from the training dataset to build and test the model. A successful model will have the lowest value of predicted mean square error.

### 5. CONCLUSION

The study's findings helped the decision-maker achieve the best possible outcome. Data preparation and standardization are among the most challenging aspects of linear modelling. According to this study, statistical formulations, computation in R syntax, and the multiple linear regression package produced highly successful linear modelling.
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