A Comparative Perspective Study between Intrathecal Midazolam & Nalbuphine as an adjuvant with Hyperbaric Bupivacaine for Post-Operative Analgesia in Total Abdominal Hysterectomy
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ABSTRACT

Background: Subarachnoid block is the preferred form of regional anesthesia for obstetric surgeries. Local anesthetic agents alone are insufficient in providing adequate postoperative analgesia, which is an essential factor for the patients & is the sole essence of anesthesia. Adding adjuvant will result in better quality, the efficacy of SAB & will prolong analgesia postoperatively. Due to minimal hemodynamic & respiratory complications, Nalbuphine, an opioid, can be favored as an adjuvant to subarachnoid block. The addition of Nalbuphine in limiting doses to Hyperbaric Bupivacaine offers improved block quality & adequate pre & post-operative analgesia. Midazolam, an imidazobenzodiazepine given intrathecally, raises the threshold of pain; it also has hypnotic, anticonvulsant, muscle relaxant & amnesic effects of other benzodiazepines.

Objectives: Primarily to compare the duration of pain relief in the postoperative period between administration of Intrathecal Nalbuphine (1mg) & Midazolam(2,5mg) (Timing of 1st rescue
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analgesia). Secondarily to compare the onset of action & duration of motor & sensory block (Modified Bromage Scale), Effect on Hemodynamic Parameters, 24 hours requirement of analgesic (No. of Injection Paracetamol 15-20mg/kg ), Degree of sedation(Modified Ramsay Sedation Scale) & After-effects(PONV, Pruritis, Shivering & Retention of urine).

**Methodology:** The study type will be a Comparative Prospective Study on 60 ASA 1 & 2 females in the age group 35-75 years, planned for total abdominal hysterectomy will be separated in two equivalent Group M (n=30) & Group N (n=30). Group M will receive combination Midazolam preservative-free 0.5 ml (2.5 mg) with 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 2.5 ml(12.5 mg), & Group N will receive combination Nalbuphine 1 ml (1 mg) with Hyperbaric Bupivacaine (0.5%) 2.5 ml (12.5mg) by Intrathecal Route. Analgesia duration in the postoperative period, the onset of action & duration of motor & sensory block, effect on hemodynamic parameters, 24 hours analgesics requirement, degree of sedation, after-effects, if any, will be studied & compared.

**Conclusion:** Expected to prove the hypothesis that adding which of the following adjuvant 1mg Nalbuphine or 2.5mg Midazolam with 0.5% Hyperbaric Bupivacaine intrathecally given in SAB prolongs the postoperative analgesia duration more as compared to other.

**Keywords:** Subarachnoid block; total abdominal hysterectomy; intrathecal; nalbuphine; post-operative analgesia; midazolam.

1. **INTRODUCTION**

Subarachnoid block was introduced about a hundred years ago & is still the most popular regional anesthesia approach. But, the local anesthetic drugs (whether isobaric or hyperbaric) used for subarachnoid block do not prolong postoperative pain relief. Anaesthesiologists face this struggle as they oversee peri & postoperative pain control [1]. Excessively soaring regional blocks & toxicity due to local anesthetics are the most common reasons for deaths linked to regional blocks; therefore, decreasing dosage of local anesthetics, adding adjuvants, usage of latest methodology to circumvent inordinate blocks, & superior tackling of local anesthetic toxicity are the novel targets for reducing death rate linked to regional anesthesia [2].

The subarachnoid block is a preferred technique of anesthesia as it is easy to carry out, the outset of action is rapid, better relaxation of muscle & effectual. Additionally, reduced recovery time, quick return of patient’s average oral intake & safety are its added advantages. Analgesia in the postoperative phase is essential to provide comfort & reinstatement of functions effectively; it is one of the primary concerns of all patients [3,4]. Though subarachnoid block is relatively safe, its duration of action is short. To overcome this snag, various adjuvants are being added per usual. Subarachnoid block with Hyperbaric Bupivacaine 0.5 Percent, along with adjuvants, is consistently administered for lower abdomen surgeries.[5] Numerous drugs were recognized to be used as adjuvants such as Opioids, Adrenaline, Neostigmine, Midazolam, Ketamine, α-2 agonists (Clonidine, Dexmeditomidine) for lengthening of local anesthetic analgesia & action in the postoperative phase [6,7], but drugs have constraints & their adverse effects [6].

**Nalbuphine**, an opioid, has mixed kappa-agonist & mu-antagonist properties. It acts by competitively displacing other mu-agonists from the receptor site (mu-antagonism). Also, its binding to kappa-receptors produces an agonist effect. Due to this mixed pattern of agonism & antagonism, **Nalbuphine** is a mixed kappa-agonist-mu-antagonist. It has minimal respiratory depression, in contrast to other opioid analgesics acting centrally because it has mixed partial k-receptor agonist &µ-receptor antagonist activity [8,9].

**Midazolam** is a water-soluble imidazobenzodiazepine derivative. Midazolam is similar to other benzodiazepines in binding extensively to plasma proteins. Midazolam has hypnotic, anticonvulsant, muscle relaxation & amnesic effects of other benzodiazepines [10,11].

1.1 **Research Question**

Which is more effective among Midazolam 2.5 mg & Nalbuphine 1 mg when used as an Intrathecal Adjuvant to Hyperbaric Bupivacaine in providing analgesia in the post-operative phase?
1.2 Rationale
Numerous studies have been conducted about the individual strength of both drugs. We want to compare which adjuvant is better when administered intrathecally along with Hyperbaric Bupivacaine (0.5%) 2.5 ml (12.5mg). Hence the motive of the study.

1.3 Aim
The study aims to compare the Efficacy of midazolam & nalbuphine when they are added as an Adjuvant with Hyperbaric Bupivacaine (0.5%) intrathecally in patients planned for Total Abdominal Hysterectomy.

1.4 Objectives
1.4.1 Primary
To compare postoperative analgesia duration between midazolam (2.5 mg) & nalbuphine (1 mg) dose intrathecally given along with 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine.

2.2 Inclusion Criteria
- Women in the 35-75 age range.
- TAH under spinal anesthesia.
- Surgical duration 2 hours
- American society of anaesthesiologist grade 1 & 2.
- Mallampati classification 1 & 2.

2.3 Exclusion Criteria
- Patient not willing to participate in the study
- American society of anaesthesiologist grade 3 & 4.
- SAB injection site infection.
- Patients with Neuromuscular disorders.
- Patients with bleeding diathesis or on anticoagulant therapy
- Patient allergic to local anesthetic, midazolam & nalbuphine

2.4 Sampling Size and Technique
After approval of the Institution’s Ethics Committee, a Comparative & Prospective study will be done on Sixty patients fulfilling all the Inclusion Criteria.

Patients for the study will be randomly allocated into two groups:
- Group M (n=30): Midazolam with Bupivacaine
- Group N(n=30): Nalbuphine with Bupivacaine

Sample Size formulae used are as follows:

\[ n = \frac{Z\alpha + Z\beta}{\Delta^2} \cdot \left( \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2/k \right) \]

where,
- \(Z\alpha\)-level of significance at 5% (95% Confidence Interval) = 1.96
- \(Z\beta\) -Power of Test = 80% = 0.84
- \(\sigma_1\) = for Group N, the SD of sensory blockade onset (1.05)
- \(\sigma_2\) = for Group M, the SD of sensory blockade onset (0.44)
- \(\Delta = 3.04 - 1.95 = 0.61 \) & \( k = 1 \)

\[ n = \frac{(1.96 + 0.84)^2 \cdot (1.052^2 + 0.442^2/1)}{0.61^2} = 27.30 \]
n = 30 patients needed in each group considering dropouts


2.5 Methodology

a) Pre-Operative Assessment

1. Patients will be examined for preoperative assessment a day before surgery for final fitness.
2. Patient details, history of presenting illness, airway assessment, spine examination, nutritional status, detailed general & systemic examination, preoperative blood & other lab investigations of the patient will be noted.
3. Patients will be kept NBM Overnight & pre-medicated using 150 mg of ranitidine, 0.5mg of alprazolam on the eve of surgery.
4. Women fulfilling the inclusion criteria will be informed & explained about the type and motive of the study & consent will be taken in writing.
5. Patients will be randomly separated into 2 Groups- group M, group N by Slips in the Box Method. The patient & the Anaesthesiologist (the outcome’s assessor), who will record the perioperative data, will be blinded to the study drug (Double-Blind Study).

b) INTRA-OPERATIVE

1. Upon reaching OT, multi-para monitors will be connected, e.g., nip monitor, electrocardiogram & Spo2 monitor. Baseline values will be recorded
2. An i.v access will be established with an 18 gauge IV cannula. 10ml/kg of RL will be used to preload.
3. premedication with injection ondansetron 75-100 µ/kg iv shall be done 10 minutes before the SAB procedure.
4. SAB will be performed at intervertebral space L3-L4 with 25G Quincke needle using the median approach in the left lateral or sitting position following all aseptic measures. The drug will be injected after clear, free-flowing cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is observed.
5. Parameters to be recorded are:
   i) onset of sensorimotor block
   ii) time is taken & max. Level of sensory block attained.
   iii) two-segment regression time of Sensory Block.
   iv) sedation level
   v) postop assessment of pain by VAS.
   vi) timing for 1st rescue analgesia.
   vii) any harmful effects.

An unsharp tipped needle shall be used to check sensory block (pinprick technique) every 2 minutes till a level of surgical anesthesia is attained at T10 dermatome [4]. Assessment of quality of motor block shall be done using modified Bromage scale.

c) BLOCK EVALUATION

Sensory Block

1) Sensory Blockade will be assessed by Pin Prick Technique using unsharp tipped needle, on mid-clavicular line, every min till block at T6 dermatome is attained

2) After that, the Sensory Block will be examined every 2 minutes until the Maximum Sensory Blockade is achieved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Drug Given</th>
<th>Total Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Bupivacaine (H) 2.5ml (12.5mg) of 0.5% + Midazolam 0.5ml (2.5mg)</td>
<td>3ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>Bupivacaine (H) 2.5ml (12.5mg) of 0.5% + Nalbuphine 0.1ml (1mg) normal saline 0.9 ml</td>
<td>3ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. List of Drugs
Table 2. Grades of sensory blockade

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 0</th>
<th>Sharp Pain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1</td>
<td>Analgesia, Dull Sensations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2</td>
<td>Anesthesia, No Sensations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. List of motor block

| 0 | No Motor Blockade |
| 1 | Inability to raise extended leg; able to move knees & feet |
| 2 | Inability to raise extended leg & move knees; able to move feet |
| 3 | Complete motor block of the limb |

2.6 Sensory Block-Onset and Duration

- Onset is the period between anesthesia injection and sensory block at T10 dermatome.
- Duration - (assessed by two-segment regression) is the period between injection of anesthesia and the decrease of maximum sensory block level by two segments.

2.7 Motor Block

Assessment of the quality of motor blocks will be carried out using a modified Bromage scale.

- Monitoring hemodynamic parameters – every 2 mins for initial 10 mins, every 5 mins for the next 30 mins & finally every 15 mins until the surgery is completed.
- If heart rate reduces to 20 percent below baseline, injection glycopyrrolate will be administered & if the blood pressure falls 20 percent below the baseline, injection mephentermine will be administered.

Side-effects observed following injection of the drug under study shall be recorded and managed.

- I.v fluids will be given, keeping in mind the patient’s weight & fluid loss intraoperatively.
- Intraoperatively, side-effects e.g nausea, vomiting, pruritis & shivering will be noted. Inj. ondansetron 4 mg i.v to treat nausea & vomiting, injection tramadol 50 mg i.v for shivering, & injection hydrocort 100 mg i.v & injection pheniramine 25 mg i.v for allergic reactions & pruritus.
- Patient will be shifted to the postoperative ward after surgery is completed. Monitoring, every half an hour for initial six hrs, will be done. Later daily monitoring will be done. If the patient shows a VAS score of 4 or more, injection paracetamol 15-20 mg/kg i.v shall be administered as rescue analgesia.

2.7 Visual Analog Scale

- VAS will be described to the patient preoperatively.

Fig. 1. Numeric rating scale
3. DISCUSSION

We aim to reveal that the addition of intrathecal Nalbuphine 0.1ml(1mg) to 0.5% Bupivacaine 2.5 ml (12.5mg) improves the standard of the blockade and postop pain relief, improves hemodynamic stability & minimizes side effects in comparison to the addition of intrathecal midazolam 0.5ml (2.5mg) to 2.5 ml(12.5mg) of Hyperbaric(0.5%) Bupivacaine in cases of Total Abdominal Hysterectomy.

Fareed Ahmed et al. 2016 [12] deduced from their study that combining intrathecal bupivacaine & nalbuphine in cases of abdominal hysterectomy led to improvement of postoperative analgesia, in contrast to the control group. From the three doses of nalbuphine that were studied, 1.6mg of nalbuphine gave the best results.

T. Das et al. in 2017 [13], in their study for the relation between intrathecal nalbuphine and postoperative analgesia, found out that the addition of intrathecal nalbuphine leads to faster onset of sensorimotor block and slow regression of the block. Conversely, Tiwari et al in 2013 [14] found that adding intrathecal nalbuphine does not lead to any change in the onset of the sensorimotor blockade. These results were ascribed to the reduced dosing then in the study(0.2mg & 0.4mg), nalbuphine were used. In 2003 [15] in their study found out that midazolam increases the duration of motor blockade. Manisha Sapate et al. in 2013 [16] conducted a double-blinded, randomized control trial to find out the results of the addition of nalbuphine in abdominal surgeries under spinal anaesthesia (Bupivacaine). It was inferred that addition of nalbuphine improves the standard of block in contrast to views of bupivacaine alone. Further nalbuphine also extends postop pain relief in elderly patients. Several other studies were assessed [17-23].

4. LIMITATIONS

1. Drug given only by Intrathecal Route
2. This study will be limited to female patients undergoing total abdominal hysterectomy.
3. There is variation in pain threshold in between patients.

5. CONCLUSION

Adjuvant, Intrathecal Nalbuphine(1 mg), added to Hyperbaric Bupivacaine(12.5 mg) is expected to enhance the quality of block in comparison to (2.5 mg) Midazolam as an adjuvant to Bupivacaine. It is also expected for nalbuphine to provide 8-9 hours long postop analgesia when utilised in addition to bupivacaine, without producing noteworthy side effects in patients undergoing TAH under sub-arachnoid block.
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