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ABSTRACT

*Amaranthus graecizans* subsp. *silvestris* (AMGRS) has traditionally been used a folk medicine in the treatment of inflammation, gonorrhea and piles without scientific evidence. Therefore, methanolic (MeOH) extract of AMGRS was studied for anti-nociceptive, anti-inflammatory and enzymatic inhibition activities in addition to phytochemical screening to ascertain the realism of the folk claims. Anti-nociceptive activity was screened by hot plate test, tail immersion test and acetic acid-induced abdominal writhing in mice with different doses of MeOH extract of AMGRS i.e. 100, 300 and 600 mg/kg. Anti-inflammatory activity was evaluated using carrageenan-induced paw edema model in rats with different doses of MeOH extract of AMGRS i.e. 100, 300 and 600 mg/kg. While, anticholinesterase and protease inhibition was carried to screen MeOH extract for its relevant therapeutic uses. Hot plate technique and tail immersion method demonstrated dose related antinociceptive response starting from 300 mg/kg to maximum effect seen at 600 mg/kg.
dose. Acetic acid induced writing produced 47% pain protection during 1st phase (0-15 minutes) at 600 mg/kg dose. Likewise, carrageenan induced rat paw edema test showed 42% reduction in edema at 4th h after oral administration of dose (600 mg/kg). The MeOH extract showed mild anticholinesterase inhibition (24.29±0.57) while exhibited significant protease inhibition activity (60.52±0.18). The present investigation suggests that this plant possesses substantial antinociceptive, anti-inflammatory and protease inhibition activities.
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1. INTRODUCTION

During the last few decades, traditional system of medicine has received more global importance because of its versatile benefits. In developing countries, various estimates showed that majority of the population still relies greatly on traditional medical practitioner and medicinal plants to fulfill basic health care needs. The switching of interest towards alternative medicines was mainly due to availability of cost effective medicines with less side effects [1,2].

The current Amaranthaceae family is composed of almost 175 genera and 2,000 species [3]. Plants of Amaranthaceae family also have economic value. Species like Salicornia herbacea and Beta vulgaris are cultivated for their use as vegetables around the globe. Other varieties of Beta vulgaris i.e. fodder beet and sugar beet are widely processed in food industry. The seeds of Chenopodium quinoa (Quinoa) and Amaranthus sp. (Amaranth) are eatable and being consumed as pseudocereals [4].

In the tropical, subtropical and temperate climate, numerous species of Amaranthaceae family have been utilized as medicinal plants by native population. The recent pharmacological studies reported that plants belonging to Amaranthaceae are good therapeutic agents to cure variety of ailments due to the presence of diversified phytochemical constituents [5,6].

Amaranthus is one of the important genus of Amaranthaceae family. The seeds and roots of many species of genus Amaranthus have shown beneficial effects as antihypertensive, antihyperlipidemic as well as antioxidant agents. Some species are helpful in patients with constipation, anemia, fever, hemorrhage and kidney disorders. In Ghana and Ethiopia different species are used as analgesic agent and as a tapeworm expellant, respectively. The ash of stems of different plants of genus Amaranthus are used to treat wounds and cancers [7]. It has been reported that the extract of seeds of A. spinosus are used as a dressing for fractured bones [8]. In Southeast Asia, a decoction of the root is utilized to treat gonorrhea and is likewise linked as an emmenagogue and antipyretic. The Nepalese and few Indian tribes apply A. spinosus to trigger premature birth. In numerous nations, the wounded leaves are viewed as an emollient. The leaves are additionally utilized for nerve bladder irritation gastroenteritis, joint pain, abscesses and for the treatment of snakebites. The plant sap is utilized to treat convulsions and ophthalmia in youngsters. During summer, A. spinosus bark extract is taken to avert malaria [7].

There are three species of Amaranthus reported in Pakistan i.e. Amaranthus angustifolius Lam., Amaranthus blitum var. graecizans (L.) Moq. Amaranthus silvestris var. graecizans (L.) Boiss. [9]. Herein, we selected Amaranthus graecizans subsp. silvestris to analyze the nature of phytochemicals present in methanolic extract. Moreover, antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory activities were evaluated using mice and rats models, respectively.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant Material

Fresh plant parts were collected from Chakwal, authenticated by Dr. Muhammad Ajaib, Department of Botany, Mirpur University of Sciences and Technology (MUST), Pakistan against voucher specimen of GC. Herb. 2329. The collected material was cleaned, dried and then powdered and preserved in amber colored bottles.

2.2 Chemicals

Aspirin, Methanol, Tris buffer, Distilled water, Phosphate buffer, Galanthamine, N-Benzoyl-DL-arginine-p-nitroanilide hydrochloride
(BAPNA), trypsin, 1.0 mM HCl, Acetic acid, Phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride, acetyl cholinesterase (PMSF) DMSO, and DTNB. All chemicals used were of analytical grade. The regents used were freshly prepared in laboratory.

2.3 Experimental Animals

Swiss albino mice and Wistar rats of either sex were purchased from Riphah International University, Lahore Pakistan. All the animals were kept in animal house at controlled temperature 22 ± 1°C under 12 h of dark and light cycles. Animals had free access to food and water ad libitum. The protocols followed to carry out the project was approved by the Animal Ethical Committee of Punjab University College of Pharmacy, prepared by National Institute of Health (AEC/UCP/1041/4313).

2.4 Preparation of Methanol Extract

The powder of AMGRS (500 g) was macerated in 700 mL of 90% methanol for seven days. The macerated material was shaken periodically to facilitate extraction. After seven days, the sample solution was first filtered with Whatmann No. 1 filter paper. The MeOH extract was evaporated by using rotary evaporator at temperature lower than 40°C. The extract was collected and stored in air tight container which was placed in refrigerator for further investigations [10].

2.5 Phytochemical Screening

The phytochemical screening of the plant was carried out according to the standard screening tests and conventional protocols as described by Ishtiaq et al. [11].

2.6 Biological Evaluations

2.6.1 Analgesic activity

Analgesic activity of MeOH extract of AMGRS was determined by using three different methods as described below;

a) Hot plate method
b) Tail immersion method
c) Acetic acid induced writhing method

Swiss albino mice of either gender weighing about 22 – 25 g were selected for this activity. Animals were categorized into five following groups (n=5) for all above stated methods.

Group 1 = Normal saline 10mL/kg/day orally and was labeled as negative control.
Group 2 = Aspirin 300 mg/kg/day orally as positive control.
Group 3 = MeOH extract of AMGRS 100 mg/kg/day given orally.
Group 4 = MeOH extract of AMGRS 300 mg/kg/day given orally.
Group 5 = MeOH extract of AMGRS 600 mg/kg/day given orally.

2.6.1.1 Hot plate method

Hot plate method was used to study analgesic activity of MeOH extract of plant according to standard method with slight modifications [12]. Animals were fasted 12 h before the commencement of experiment. Animals were pre-tested by keeping the temperature of hot plate at 55 ± 0.1°C. The time taken in seconds for any type of animal response (paw licking and jumping) was noted. The animals showing latency time greater than fifteen seconds were not included in the study. Standard drug and MeOH extracts of AMGRS were given orally using different doses as mentioned in study design. After 30 minutes of administration of dose, mice were allowed to place on the hot plate and the latency time was recorded in seconds. A cut-off time of 60 second was set for all mice to prevent any damage to tissue. Latency time was recorded at predefined time intervals of 0.5 h, 1.0 h, 1.5 h, 2.0 h, 2.5 h, 3.0 h, 3.5 h, 4.0 h, and 4.5 h. Latency time of treated group was compared with control group.

2.6.1.2 Tail immersion method

Tail immersion method was used to describe the analgesic effect of the MeOH extract of AMGRS according to selected method with little modifications [13]. Only those animals were selected for this experiment who responded within 15 seconds to stimulus. Food of the animals was withdrawn five hours prior to the beginning of test. Grouping and dosing of the animals were same as described in study design. The tail of each animal was dipped in water bath thermostatically maintained at temperature of 50 ± 5°C and the time until tail flicking occurred was noted with the help of stopwatch at 0.5 h, 1.0 h, 1.5 h, 2.0 h, 2.5 h, 3.0 h, 3.5 h, 4.0 h, and 4.5 h after the treatment. The temperature of water bath was sustained at 50 ± 5°C. The results of the tested extract groups were compared with standard drug groups. The maximum time for tail
immersion was set 120 seconds as cut-off limit to escape each animal from tissue damage.

2.6.1.3 Acetic acid induced writhing method

This method was also performed to measure the analgesic activity of MeOH extract of AMGRS [14]. The animals were fasted for six hours before the beginning the experiment. Grouping and dosing of tested extract groups and controlled or standard drug groups were according to the pattern given in study design. After thirty minutes of treatment, each animal was treated with 1 mL of acetic acid (1%, v/v in normal saline, 10 mL/kg) intraperitoneally. After 5 minutes of treatment with acetic acid, each animal was observed separately and the numbers of abdominal constrictions or writhes were counted for 30 minutes. The significance of findings of treated groups was compared with the results of control and standard groups. The percentage inhibition of writhes was calculated by formula given below:

\[
\text{Percentage inhibition} = \left( \frac{A - B}{A} \right) \times 100
\]

Where, \( A \) = Average number of writings of the control group, \( B \) = Average number of writings of the test group.

2.6.2 Anti-inflammatory activity

2.6.2.1 Carrageenan-induced rat paw edema method

The carrageenan-induced paw edema method was used to test the anti-inflammatory activity of MeOH extract of AMGRS according to standardized method few alterations [15]. Standard drug and tested plant extract were given orally using different doses. Rats were divided into five groups and tagged as:

- **Group 1** = Normal saline 10 mL/kg/day orally and was labeled as negative control.
- **Group 2** = Aspirin 300 mg/kg/day orally as positive control.
- **Group 3** = MeOH extract of AMGRS 100 mg/kg/day given orally.
- **Group 4** = MeOH extract of AMGRS 300 mg/kg/day given orally.
- **Group 5** = MeOH extract of AMGRS 600 mg/kg/day given orally.

After an hour of oral intake of tested extracts and standard drug, 0.1 mL of carrageenan (1% solution in distilled water) was utilized to induce edema into the left hind paw of each rat. Digital vernier caliper was used to measure the size of paw of rats individually at 0 h, 1 h, 2 h, 3 h and 4 hafter the treatment with carrageenan. The percentage (%) inhibition was calculated by using formula given below.

\[
\text{Percentage Inhibition} = \left( \frac{\text{Edema of Control} - \text{Edema of Treated}}{\text{Edema of Control}} \right) \times 100
\]

The results of tested groups were compared with that of controlled groups.

2.6.3 Enzymes inhibition assays

2.6.3.1 In-vitro acetyl cholinesterase inhibition assay

The spectroscopic method used for the evaluation of anticholinesterase activity was developed by Ellman et al. [16]. Mixed 2.81 mL of phosphate buffer (pH=8) with 30uL of methanolic extract \( A. \) graecizans subsp. silvestris, 30 uL enzyme and 30 uL DTNB. This reaction mixture was incubated for about 10 minutes at 25°C. Then, added the 30 uL of acetylcholine iodide as a substrate. The hydrolysis of acetylcholinesterase was monitored after 35 min at 412 nm through UV/VIS spectrophotometer. The concentration of stock solution of MeOH extract was 1 mg/mL and for synthetics, was 5 mg/mL. Galanthamine was used as a positive control, while the negative control of the samples were established without adding substrate. All the reactions were performed in triplicate and calculated the mean and standard deviation. The extracts with percentage inhibition more than 50% were subjected to further dilutions to calculate IC\(_{50}\). The percentage inhibition was calculated by using the following formula:

\[
\text{Percentage inhibition} = \left( \frac{A - B}{A} \right) \times 100
\]

Where; \( A \) = activity of the enzyme without test compound and \( B \) = activity of enzyme with test compound.

2.6.3.2 In-vitro proteases inhibition assay

Proteases inhibition assay was performed on the methanolic extract of \( A. \) graecizans subsp.
silvestris according to method with some modifications [17].

Tris buffer (100 mM): pH 7.5 was adjusted with HCl (5 M). Trypsin stock solution of concentration 0.002 mg/mL with tris buffer. BApNA was dissolved in 20 mg/ml in DMSO. 0.3 mL of enzyme and 0.1 mL of inhibitor was incubated at 37°C for 15 minutes then 0.6 mM substrate was added and final volume was adjusted to 2.5 mL with buffer. The reaction was quenched by adding 200 µl (30% acetic acid) and the absorbance was measured at 410nm using UV/VIS spectrophotometer. PMSF was used as positive inhibitor. Trypsin inhibitory potential was calculated by using the following formula.

\[
\text{Percentage inhibition} = \left( \frac{\text{Absorbance (Blank)} - \text{Absorbance (Test)}}{\text{Absorbance (Blank)}} \right) \times 100
\]

2.7 Statistical Analysis

Graph pad prism was utilized to analyze the data obtained from experiments. All the results were represented in terms of ± SEM. Statistical analysis was performed to find out variance by two-way ANOVA (analysis of variance) followed by Bonferroni post-test for comparison. \( p < 0.05 \) was considered as statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

3.1 Phytochemical Analysis

Phytochemical screening revealed presence of alkaloids, carbohydrates, saponins, flavonoids, phenol, steroids, and glycosides in the sample whereas proteins and triterpenoids were absent in the sample.

3.2 Analgesic Activity

3.2.1 Hot plate method

Analgesic potential of MeOH extracts of AMGRS was determined by hot plate method. Aspirin 300 mg/kg was used as a positive control and it showed the maximum latency time when compared with its control group and showed statistically significant \( (p<0.05) \). At early hours, AMGRS 600 mg/kg showed almost same analgesic potential when compared with Aspirin 300 mg/kg but at late hours, aspirin had greater activity than AMGRS extract (600 mg/kg). AMGRS extract of 600 mg/kg dose showed significant \( (p<0.05) \) analgesic effect in comparison to 100 mg/kg and 300 mg/kg dose. Results revealed that MeOH extracts of AMGRS showed significant \( (p<0.05) \) dose dependent and time dependent effect at different doses i.e. 300 mg/kg and 600 mg/kg when compared with standard. There was increase in response with the increase of dose. A maximum latency time of 13 seconds was observed with the dose of 100 mg/kg; the maximum latency time of 22 seconds was produced by 300 mg/kg dose; the maximum latency time of 28 seconds was produced by 600 mg/kg when compared with the control (Fig. 1).

3.2.2 Tail immersion method

Tail immersion method was also used to determine the analgesic effect of plant extract. Maximum analgesic effect with aspirin was significantly \( (p<0.05) \) increased at the end of 2.5 h of test followed by decline in reading upto 4.5 h. Similarly, different concentrations of AMGRS showed significant \( (p<0.05) \) protected effect against heat when compared to control. AMGRS extract at the dose of 600 mg/kg showed significant \( (p<0.05) \) analgesic effect in comparison to 100 mg/kg and 300 mg/kg dose. Extract of 100 mg/kg dose showed maximum response time of 1.6 seconds; 300 mg/kg dose showed maximum latency time of 6.2 seconds; 600 mg/kg dose showed maximum latency time of 7.8 seconds. Maximum response time was noted in case of 600 mg/kg dose of extract when compared to 100 mg/kg and 300 mg/kg dose (Fig. 2).

3.2.3 Acetic acid induced writhing method

Acetic acid induced method was also used to evaluate the intensity of pain in peripheral region. Results of the analgesic activity of MeOH extracts of different concentrations of AMGRS are given in Fig. 3. Aspirin (300 mg/kg) was used as a positive control. The positive control group showed highly significant \( (p<0.05) \) results compared with control group. Different concentrations of extracts of AMGRS i.e. 300 mg/kg, 600 mg/kg demonstrated significant \( (p<0.05) \) responses at various time intervals. During 1st phase (0-15 min), aspirin (300 mg/kg) produced 57% pain protection against chemically induced pain. Similarly, different doses of methanolic extract of A. graecizans subsp. silvestris i.e. 100 mg/kg, 300 mg/kg and 600 mg/kg showed 12%, 36% and 47% of pain protection during 4th phase (0-15 min), respectively. Maximum pain protection was noted in case of 600 mg/kg dose of extract in response to 100 mg/kg and 300 mg/kg dose.
Fig. 1. Analgesic activity of the MeOH extract of AMGRS by Hotplate method at 100mg/kg, 300mg/kg and 600mg/kg doses. Aspirin was used as positive control. n=5. p<0.05*, 0.01** and 0.001*** were considered statistically significant, very significant and highly significant respectively.

Fig. 2. Analgesic activity of the MeOH extract of AMGRS by tail immersion method at 100mg/kg, 300mg/kg and 600mg/kg doses. Aspirin was used as positive control. n=5. p<0.05*, 0.01** and 0.001*** were considered statistically significant, very significant and highly significant respectively.
3.3 Anti-inflammatory Activity

3.3.1 Carrageenan-induced rat paw edema method

Anti-inflammatory activity of MeOH extract of AMGRS was evaluated by using carrageenan induced rat paw edema method. Results of standard and different doses of methanolic extract AMGRS were statistically significant (p<0.05) when compared with control. Aspirin showed significant (p<0.05) reduction (47%) in edema when it was compared with control. Similarly, different concentrations (300mg/kg and 600 mg/kg) of AMGRS showed significant (p<0.05) dose dependent reduction in edema in comparison to control. However, dose of 100 mg/kg did not produced significant effect. Extract of 100 mg/kg dose presented 08%; extract of 300 mg/kg dose showed 32% and extract of 600 mg/kg dose gave 42% of protection against chemically induced edema after 3 hours of test. Inhibition of rat paw edema was observed greater with 600 mg/kg dose in compassion with 100 mg/kg and 300 mg/kg doses and it was statistically significant (p<0.05). Results were tabulated and presented graphically (Fig. 4).

3.4 Enzyme Inhibition Assays

3.4.1 Acetyl cholinesterase inhibition assay

In-vitro anticholinesterase activity was performed using the methanolic extract. PMSF was used as a reference drug. The MeOH extract of A. greacizans subsp. silvestris exhibited the percentage inhibition of 24.29±0.57.

3.4.2 Protease inhibition assay

The percentage protease inhibition assay of MeOH extract of A. graecizans subsp. silvestris was 60.52±0.18.

3.5 Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC)

The MeOH extract was subjected to TLC and various bands were observed (Fig. 5).

4. DISCUSSION

The MeOH extract of the AMGRS showed analgesic and anti-inflammatory effects in all dose. The activities was evaluated against thermally and chemically induced pain to explore
Fig. 4. Percentage (%) inhibition of MeOH extract of AMGRS on carrageenan induced rat paw edema method. Aspirin as positive control. *p<0.05, **0.01 and ***0.001 were considered statistically significant, very significant and highly significant respectively

Table 1. Rf and hRf values of various components of methanolic extract of AMGRS with different mobile phases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. no.</th>
<th>Mobile phase</th>
<th>Solvent front (cm)</th>
<th>Components distance (cm)</th>
<th>Visible light</th>
<th>UV-light</th>
<th>Rf (%)</th>
<th>hRf (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Chloroform:</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Light brown</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Light pink</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95 : 05</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Pink</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Dark red</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Light purple</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pink</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Light green</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>Purple</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>Light green</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

their central and peripheral pain inhibition potential. Hotplate method and tail immersion test models were used to investigate the centrally acting anti-nociceptive activity of the extract. MeOH extract of AMGRS at different dose levels i.e. 300 mg/kg and 600 mg/kg exhibited dose dependent analgesic effect in hot plate (Fig. 1) and tail immersion test (Fig. 2). It is possible that the central analgesic effect of the extract may be mediated by inhibition of prostaglandin and bradykinins synthesis.

Acetic acid induced writhing test is used to screen the peripheral analgesic effect of the tested substance. Acetic acid stimulates the production of prostaglandins within peritoneum and activates local peritoneal pain receptors due to which abdominal constrictions (writhing) get started [18]. Since, the MeOH extract of AMGRS (300 mg/kg and 600 mg/kg) had significant analgesic effect in acetic acid induced writhing test (Fig. 3), it suggests that the extract has peripheral analgesic effect which may be achieved through inhibition of cyclooxygenase COX-synthesized prostaglandins.

Carrageenan-induced paw edema is well authenticated animal model to explore the anti-inflammatory activity of synthetic compounds as well as natural products. Paw edema induced by
Carrageenan is consisted of two phases; the initial or first phase (1 h or 1.5 h) involves a non-phagocytic edema which is followed by a second phase or late phase (2 h – 5 h) with increased edema development that persisted up to 5 h [19]. During first phase, mediators such as histamine, bradykinin and serotonin are responsible for the development of edema due to their action on vascular permeability while prostaglandins involve in the progress of edema during second or late phase [20]. Results of anti-inflammatory activity of extracts AMGRS were appreciable in comparison with control group. In present study, the extracts of AMGRS (300 and 600 mg/kg) produced significant anti-inflammatory effect in both phases i.e. First and second phase. This effect might be due to the inhibition of synthesis of histamine and prostaglandins. It is also reported that the substance can decrease the volume of carrageenan induced paw edema by inhibiting cyclooxygenase [21].

**Fig. 5. Separation of phytochemicals on silica gel plate of MeOH extract of A. graecizans subsp. silvestris with mobile phase chloroform and ethanol (90:05)**

Phytochemical analysis of MeOH extract of AMGRS exhibited the presence of saponins, tannins, carbohydrates, flavonoids, cardiac glycosides, sterols, lipids and alkaloids. Flavonoids are found to be very good inhibitors of prostaglandins which are responsible for late phase of inflammation and pain perception [22]. The analgesic and anti-inflammatory effects in current study can be attributed to the presence of flavonoids and tannins in the MeOH extract of AMGRS.

**In-vitro enzyme inhibition assays (acetyl cholinesterase inhibition assay and proteases inhibition assay) were performed and results were calculated. Anticholinesterase activity is useful assay to screen the sample for its use in the treatment of Alzheimer’s disease. Despite the unknown etiology of Alzheimer disease but is associated with impairment in cholinergic transmission. The elevation of acetylcholine amount through AChE enzyme inhibition has been accepted as the most effective treatment strategy against Alzheimer’s disease. Therefore, large number of AChE inhibitors is used for symptomatic relief of Alzheimer disease. Similarly, proteolytic enzymes are necessary for the normal regulation of proteins, and any type of abnormality in the regulation of enzymes can cause initiation of different disorders for example in small intestine hydrolysis of protein peptide bond is catalyzed by trypsin [23].**

Chemical characterization of MeOH extract of AMGRS was performed by TLC method using commercially available silica gel TLC plates. The MeOH extract was separated into about ten bands with the mobile phase chloroform and ethanol (95:05). Out of these ten bands five were visible in ordinary light and the rest of the five were seen when the chromatogram was observed under UV Lamp (Fig. 5). All of the separated phytoconstituents showed different colors under UV light, this revealed that the extract contains chemical components that are fluorescent in nature. The Rf values for all bands were calculated and it ranged from 0.08 to 0.97 as shown in Table 1.

**5. CONCLUSION**

The MeOH extract of AMGRS provides adequate and significant in-vivo analgesic and anti-inflammatory activity in the animal models, which is sufficient to justify the folklore use of this herb as anodyne. The results of enzyme inhibition assay for cholinesterase inhibitory effect ascertain its worth in the management of Alzheimer disease, while the protease inhibition
demonstrates its proteolytic effects. These therapeutic effects and folklore use of this herb can be associated with the presence of variety of phytoconstituents present in the extract. *i.e.* saponins, tannins, flavonoids, cardiac glycosides, sterols and alkaloids. The TLC quantification revealed the fluorescent components present in the extract.
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